



ERA Energy
Resources
Of Australia



Ranger Mine Closure Plan

2023

Issued Date: 1 December 2023

Revision number: 1.23.0

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 | Operator Details | 4 |
| 1.2 | Title Details..... | 5 |
| 1.3 | Purpose of this MCP | 7 |
| 1.4 | Implications of Feasibility Study Reforecast | 8 |
| 1.5 | Scope of this MCP | 8 |
| 2 | STATUTORY, CULTURAL AND CLIMATIC CONTEXT..... | 10 |
| 2.1 | Statutory Context | 10 |
| 2.1.1 | Shared regulatory responsibility and the Ranger Authorisation | 10 |
| 2.1.2 | Australian Government (Commonwealth) legislation | 11 |
| 2.1.3 | Northern Territory Government legislation | 12 |
| 2.1.4 | Closure Objectives and Closure Criteria | 13 |
| 2.2 | Cultural Context | 14 |
| 2.3 | Climatic Context | 14 |
| 2.3.1 | Climate..... | 14 |
| 2.3.2 | Climate Change | 15 |
| 3 | STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT | 17 |
| 3.1 | Stakeholders and Engagement Mechanisms | 18 |
| 3.2 | Engagement with Traditional Owners | 23 |
| 3.3 | Current Engagement Context | 23 |
| 3.4 | Stakeholder Engagement Planning | 24 |
| 3.5 | Social Impact and Opportunities Assessment | 25 |
| 3.5.1 | Context of the Assessment..... | 25 |
| 3.5.2 | Process of the Assessment | 26 |
| 3.5.3 | Findings of the Assessment..... | 26 |
| 3.5.4 | Next steps | 27 |
| 4 | DESCRIPTION OF CLOSURE ACTIVITIES..... | 28 |
| 4.1 | Pit 1 | 32 |
| 4.1.1 | Installation of the Underdrain and Deposition of Tailings | 32 |

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 4.1.2 | Wicking | 35 |
| 4.1.3 | Geotextile Placement and Initial Capping..... | 35 |
| 4.1.4 | Backfill..... | 35 |
| 4.1.5 | Tailings Consolidation and Removal of Pit Tailings Flux..... | 36 |
| 4.1.6 | Creation of Final Landform | 36 |
| 4.1.7 | Revegetation and Habitat Creation | 39 |
| 4.1.8 | Planned Future Activities | 41 |
| 4.2 | Pit 3 | 41 |
| 4.2.1 | Construction of the Underfill and Underdrain | 43 |
| 4.2.2 | Pit 3 Underfill Capacity and Brine Injection | 44 |
| 4.2.3 | Tailings Deposition | 46 |
| 4.2.4 | Tailings Consolidation and Wicking..... | 48 |
| 4.2.5 | Activities Occurring at Present – Drying Out of Tailings..... | 49 |
| 4.2.6 | Planned Future Activities | 52 |
| 4.3 | Water Management at Ranger..... | 57 |
| 4.3.1 | Ranger Water Classes..... | 57 |
| 4.3.2 | Water Treatment Infrastructure..... | 59 |
| 4.3.3 | Water Management Areas..... | 63 |
| 4.4 | Decommissioning, Demolition and Disposal of Contaminated Material | 65 |
| 4.4.1 | Decommissioning | 65 |
| 4.4.2 | Demolition and Disposal | 66 |
| 4.4.3 | Disposal of Contaminated Material..... | 68 |
| 4.4.4 | Other Infrastructure and Services on the RPA | 76 |
| 4.5 | Ranger Water Dam Deconstruction | 82 |
| 4.5.1 | Tailings Transfer and Process Water Return | 82 |
| 4.5.2 | RWD Wall and Floor Cleaning..... | 83 |
| 4.5.3 | Current Use of the RWD..... | 83 |
| 4.5.4 | Planned Future Activities | 83 |
| 4.6 | Ranger 3 Deeps Decline | 85 |
| 4.7 | Trial Landform | 88 |
| 4.7.1 | Establishment of Trial Landform..... | 88 |
| 4.7.2 | Planned Future Activities | 91 |
| 4.8 | Final Landform | 91 |
| 4.8.1 | Final Landform Design Principles..... | 91 |

| | | |
|-------|---|-----|
| 4.8.2 | Material Discrimination and Placement | 93 |
| 4.8.3 | Surface layer construction | 98 |
| 4.8.4 | Revegetation of the Final Landform | 99 |
| 4.9 | Erosion and Sediment Control | 101 |
| 4.9.1 | Sediment Basins | 101 |
| 4.9.2 | Rock Check Dams | 102 |
| 4.9.3 | Access Tracks | 102 |
| 5 | STRUCTURE AND CONTENT OF CHAPTER 6 TO CHAPTER 11 | 104 |
| 5.1 | Progress Status | 105 |
| 5.2 | Preventative Controls | 107 |
| 5.3 | Corrective Actions | 107 |
| 5.4 | Bow-tie diagrams | 109 |
| 6 | LANDFORM | 111 |
| 6.1 | Closure Objectives and Criteria | 112 |
| 6.1.1 | Erosion Characteristics | 112 |
| 6.1.2 | Isolation of Tailings | 114 |
| 6.2 | Design Elements | 115 |
| 6.3 | Relevant Studies / Knowledge Base | 115 |
| 6.3.1 | Erosion Characteristics | 116 |
| 6.3.2 | Isolation of Tailings | 126 |
| 6.4 | Bow-tie diagrams | 130 |
| 6.5 | Preventative Controls and their Effectiveness | 133 |
| 6.5.1 | Final landform design and construction | 134 |
| 6.5.2 | Erosion control measures including preparation of final landform surface..... | 135 |
| 6.5.3 | Sediment control measures including sediment basins | 135 |
| 6.5.4 | Drainage control structures including sinuous armoured drainage channels..... | 136 |
| 6.5.5 | Revegetation of final landform surface | 136 |
| 6.5.6 | All tailings deposited into Pits 1 and 3 | 137 |
| 6.5.7 | Tailings buried below predicted depth of gully formation | 137 |
| 6.5.8 | Understanding final tailings elevations | 137 |
| 6.5.9 | Legal instruments | 138 |
| 6.6 | Monitoring Program..... | 138 |
| 6.6.1 | Turbidity monitoring | 138 |
| 6.6.2 | Bedload monitoring | 138 |

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 6.6.3 | Inspections of temporary erosion and sediment control features | 139 |
| 6.6.4 | Constructed landform monitoring | 139 |
| 6.6.5 | Pit 3 tailings consolidation monitoring | 140 |
| 6.6.6 | Material placement and landform construction monitoring | 140 |
| 6.7 | Corrective Actions and their Effectiveness | 142 |
| 6.8 | Trigger, Action, Response Plan | 143 |
| 6.9 | Future Work..... | 147 |
| 7 | WATER AND SEDIMENT | 149 |
| 7.1 | Closure Objectives and Criteria | 150 |
| 7.1.1 | Water Quality Management Framework..... | 150 |
| 7.1.2 | Objectives and management goals | 152 |
| 7.1.3 | Justification for outcome, parameter and criteria..... | 157 |
| 7.2 | Design elements | 165 |
| 7.3 | Relevant Studies / Knowledge Base | 165 |
| 7.3.1 | Ranger Conceptual Model | 166 |
| 7.3.2 | Source Terms and CoPC..... | 169 |
| 7.3.3 | Groundwater Modelling and Uncertainty Analysis..... | 170 |
| 7.3.4 | Solute movement in shallow groundwater..... | 172 |
| 7.3.5 | Surface Water Model | 172 |
| 7.3.6 | Aquatic Pathways Risk Assessment | 179 |
| 7.3.7 | Vulnerability Assessment Framework | 185 |
| 7.3.8 | Eutrophication..... | 187 |
| 7.3.9 | Acid Sulfate Soils..... | 189 |
| 7.3.10 | Preliminary Human Health Risk Assessment..... | 192 |
| 7.3.11 | Studies to be completed | 194 |
| 7.4 | Bow-tie diagrams | 197 |
| 7.5 | Preventative Controls and their Effectiveness | 201 |
| 7.5.1 | Site-wide preventative controls..... | 201 |
| 7.5.2 | Djalkmarra Catchment and Corridor Creek Catchment..... | 205 |
| 7.5.3 | Coonjimba Catchment and Gulungul Catchment | 207 |
| 7.5.4 | Final Landform and Land Application Areas..... | 208 |
| 7.6 | Monitoring Program..... | 210 |
| 7.7 | Corrective Actions and their Effectiveness | 212 |
| 7.8 | Trigger, Action, Response Plan | 215 |

| | | |
|-------|--|-----|
| 7.9 | Future Work..... | 218 |
| 8 | SOILS..... | 219 |
| 8.1 | Closure Objectives and Criteria | 220 |
| 8.2 | Design elements | 220 |
| 8.3 | Relevant Studies / Knowledge Base | 221 |
| 8.3.1 | Studies completed to date | 221 |
| 8.3.2 | Studies to be completed | 226 |
| 8.4 | Bow-tie diagram | 229 |
| 8.5 | Preventative Controls and their Effectiveness | 231 |
| 8.5.1 | Containment cell within RP2 for PFAS | 232 |
| 8.5.2 | Excavate and dispose contaminated soil/sediments into Pit 3 and RP2..... | 233 |
| 8.5.3 | In situ treatment of mildly contaminated, or culturally sensitive, sites | 233 |
| 8.5.4 | Tilling..... | 233 |
| 8.6 | Monitoring Program..... | 234 |
| 8.7 | Corrective Actions and their Effectiveness | 235 |
| 8.8 | Trigger, Action, Response Plan | 236 |
| 8.9 | Future work | 238 |
| 9 | ECOSYSTEMS..... | 239 |
| 9.1 | Closure Objectives and Criteria | 240 |
| 9.2 | Design elements | 244 |
| 9.3 | Relevant Studies / Knowledge Base | 244 |
| 9.3.1 | Vegetation composition, abundance and community structure..... | 247 |
| 9.3.2 | Habitat formation and composition and abundance of fauna | 261 |
| 9.3.3 | Nutrient cycling | 264 |
| 9.3.4 | Resilience to an appropriate fire regime | 265 |
| 9.3.5 | Resilience to extreme weather events, pests and disease | 268 |
| 9.3.6 | Declared weeds and other introduced flora..... | 269 |
| 9.3.7 | Abundance of exotic fauna | 272 |
| 9.4 | Bow-tie diagrams | 272 |
| 9.5 | Preventive Controls and their Effectiveness | 280 |
| 9.5.1 | Final landform design and construction..... | 281 |
| 9.5.2 | Weed management in non-waste rock surrounds within RPA | 281 |
| 9.5.3 | Weed management on waste rock rehabilitation areas | 282 |
| 9.5.4 | Application of pre-emergent herbicide..... | 283 |

| | | |
|--------|--|-----|
| 9.5.5 | Implementation of suitable vegetation establishment strategy | 283 |
| 9.5.6 | Provision of suitable irrigation..... | 283 |
| 9.5.7 | Fire management in surrounds within RPA..... | 284 |
| 9.5.8 | Management of exotic and other threatening fauna | 285 |
| 9.5.9 | Targeted pest and disease management | 285 |
| 9.5.10 | Addition of organic materials from surrounds | 285 |
| 9.5.11 | Appropriate introduction of fire to rehabilitation areas | 286 |
| 9.5.12 | Installation of appropriate habitat | 286 |
| 9.5.13 | Development of appropriate vegetation CRE | 286 |
| 9.5.14 | Development of appropriate fauna CRE..... | 286 |
| 9.6 | Monitoring Program..... | 287 |
| 9.6.1 | Adaptive management monitoring | 287 |
| 9.6.2 | Vegetation ground surveys and habitat monitoring | 288 |
| 9.6.3 | Multispectral machine learning data capture | 288 |
| 9.6.4 | Image and/or LiDAR capture | 288 |
| 9.6.5 | Litter decomposition and nutrient cycling monitoring | 289 |
| 9.6.6 | Mammal, bird and reptile monitoring | 289 |
| 9.6.7 | Ant monitoring..... | 289 |
| 9.6.8 | Planned fire regime monitoring..... | 290 |
| 9.6.9 | Resilience monitoring | 290 |
| 9.7 | Corrective Actions and their Effectiveness | 290 |
| 9.8 | Trigger, Action, Response Plan | 293 |
| 9.9 | Future Work..... | 298 |
| 10 | RADIATION | 300 |
| 10.1 | Closure Objectives and Criteria | 301 |
| 10.2 | Design elements | 303 |
| 10.3 | Relevant Studies / Knowledge Base | 303 |
| 10.3.1 | Radiation exposure pathways..... | 303 |
| 10.3.2 | Radiation effects on terrestrial and aquatic biota | 309 |
| 10.4 | Bow-tie diagrams | 310 |
| 10.5 | Preventative Controls and their Effectiveness | 313 |
| 10.6 | Monitoring Program..... | 314 |
| 10.7 | Corrective Actions and their Effectiveness | 316 |
| 10.8 | Trigger, Action, Response Plan | 316 |

| | | |
|--------|---|-----|
| 10.9 | Future work | 319 |
| 11 | CULTURAL..... | 320 |
| 11.1 | Closure Objectives and Criteria | 321 |
| 11.2 | Design elements | 324 |
| 11.3 | Knowledge base..... | 325 |
| 11.3.1 | Cultural heritage management system..... | 325 |
| 11.3.2 | Post-closure use and diet | 326 |
| 11.3.3 | Culturally important flora and fauna..... | 328 |
| 11.3.4 | Potential impacts to cultural values | 328 |
| 11.4 | Bow-tie diagrams | 328 |
| 11.5 | Preventative controls and their effectiveness | 332 |
| 11.6 | Monitoring Program..... | 337 |
| 11.7 | Corrective Actions | 339 |
| 11.8 | Trigger, Action, Response Plan | 343 |
| 11.9 | Future Work..... | 343 |
| 12 | CONSOLIDATED RISK ASSESSMENT | 345 |
| 12.1 | CSIRO led 2013 risk assessment | 345 |
| 12.2 | Archer risk assessment..... | 346 |
| 12.3 | Umwelt led 2023 risk assessment..... | 347 |
| 12.4 | Findings..... | 347 |
| 13 | TIMING AND FINANCIAL PROVISION FOR CLOSURE | 358 |
| 13.1 | Rehabilitation provision | 358 |
| 13.2 | Cash flow timing | 359 |
| 13.3 | Closure Feasibility Study Update | 359 |
| 13.4 | Government Agreement..... | 359 |
| 14 | MANAGEMENT OF INFORMATION AND DATA | 361 |
| 14.1 | Data collection and management | 362 |
| 14.2 | Data availability and reporting..... | 362 |
| 15 | REFERENCES | 366 |

FIGURES

| | | |
|-------------|---|---|
| Figure 1-1: | Location of Ranger Project Area (RPA) | 2 |
| Figure 1-2: | Ranger Project Area..... | 3 |
| Figure 1-3: | Regional location of the RPA | 6 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 1-4: Land portions within and surrounding the RPA..... | 6 |
| Figure 2-1: Jabiru mean monthly rainfall and evaporation (1971 to 2020)..... | 15 |
| Figure 3-1: Stakeholder Groups relevant to Ranger | 19 |
| Figure 4-1: Indicative timeline of planned activities | 29 |
| Figure 4-2: Location and extent of closure domains | 30 |
| Figure 4-3: Schematic of Pit 1 with key elevations (not to scale)..... | 33 |
| Figure 4-4: Pit 1 water balance schematic | 36 |
| Figure 4-5: Preliminary plan for rocky outcrop habitat feature lines on the final landform | 40 |
| Figure 4-6: Schematic of Pit 3 with key elevations (not to scale)..... | 42 |
| Figure 4-7: Pit 3 in 2021 (left) and after construction of the underfill in 2014 (right)..... | 43 |
| Figure 4-8: Pit 3 underfill brine storage capacity (2.5 GL at -100 mRL)..... | 45 |
| Figure 4-9: Location of Well Heads of the Directionally Drilled Brine Injection Wells | 46 |
| Figure 4-10: Pit 3 Dewatering zones | 51 |
| Figure 4-11: Decant Well Typical Section | 54 |
| Figure 4-12: Nominal location of decant wells and monitoring towers | 56 |
| Figure 4-13: General arrangement of water class catchments on the RPA (adapted from Deacon, 2017) ... | 58 |
| Figure 4-14: Ranger water circuit | 60 |
| Figure 4-15: Processing Plant proposed demolition phases (Phase 1 – Green; Phase 2 – Blue)..... | 70 |
| Figure 4-16: Temporary laydown area (Pit 3 at top and RP2 on right) | 71 |
| Figure 4-17: Trial landform – treatment design and associated infrastructure..... | 90 |
| Figure 4-18: Final landform boundary and contours | 92 |
| Figure 4-19: Illustration of the height difference between current and final landform | 95 |
| Figure 4-20: Source locations of bulk material movements with place names | 96 |
| Figure 4-21: Destination locations of bulk material movements with place names..... | 97 |
| Figure 4-22: Sediment Basin and Catchment Arrangement..... | 103 |
| Figure 5-1: Spider web diagram from the Soils theme showing subjective percentage complete..... | 106 |
| Figure 5-2: Example output from the bow-tie risk assessment process (Soils theme) | 110 |
| Figure 6-1: Pit 1 landform surface management water features | 121 |
| Figure 6-2: Decrease in mean annual bedload yield with time since construction on the TLF (Lowry and Saynor, 2015) | 122 |
| Figure 6-3: Calculated Pit 1 tailings surface as of May 2021 (S. Murphy, per. comms.1 June 2021) | 127 |
| Figure 6-4: Bow-tie diagram for erosion characteristics (L1)..... | 131 |
| Figure 6-5: Bow-tie diagram for tailings isolation (L2) | 132 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Figure 7-1: The Water Quality Management Framework (ANZG, 2018) | 151 |
| Figure 7-2: (Top) The main features of the ALARA procedure (Oudiz <i>et al.</i> , 1986) and (Bottom) Framework for the integration of risks from multiple hazards into a holistic ALARA demonstration (from Bryant <i>et al.</i> , 2017)..... | 164 |
| Figure 7-3: Ranger sitewide groundwater sheds..... | 168 |
| Figure 7-4: Horsetail plot of Pit 3 uncertainty analysis modelled magnesium loads from Pit 3 sources | 171 |
| Figure 7-5: P50 (peak) realisation load contributions from Pit 3 sources..... | 171 |
| Figure 7-6: Conceptual model underpinning the APRA (BMT, 2023a) | 180 |
| Figure 7-7: Decision tree for vulnerability assessment framework..... | 186 |
| Figure 7-8: Summary of preliminary site wide ASS conceptual model – potential source areas (ERM, 2020b) | 191 |
| Figure 7-9: Bow-tie diagram for Djalkmarra and Corridor Creek catchments (Pit 1, Pit 3 and RP2) (WS1) | 198 |
| Figure 7-10: Bow-tie diagram for Coonjimba and Gulungul catchments (WS2) | 199 |
| Figure 7-11: Bow-tie diagram for Final Landform and Land Application Areas (WS3) | 200 |
| Figure 8-1: Areas of Potential Concern – Overview | 225 |
| Figure 8-2: Bow-tie diagram for contaminated soils (S1) | 230 |
| Figure 9-1: Location of existing revegetation areas | 246 |
| Figure 9-2: Surveyed reference sites with vegetation types mapped by Schodde and others (1987)..... | 250 |
| Figure 9-3: Planned depth of waste-rock across the final landform | 252 |
| Figure 9-4: Bow-tie diagram for vegetation composition, abundance and community structure (ES1) | 273 |
| Figure 9-5: Bow-tie diagram for fauna composition, abundance or habitat formation (ES2) | 274 |
| Figure 9-6: Bow-tie diagram for nutrient cycling (ES3)..... | 275 |
| Figure 9-7: Bow-tie diagram for fire resilience (ES4) | 276 |
| Figure 9-8: Bow-tie diagram for resilience to other disturbances (ES5)..... | 277 |
| Figure 9-9: Bow-tie diagram for significant presence or abundance of weeds (ES6) | 278 |
| Figure 9-10: Bow-tie diagram for significant abundances of exotic fauna (ES7) | 279 |
| Figure 10-1: Dissolved uranium concentrations in Magela Creek Upstream of Ranger | 304 |
| Figure 10-2: Bow-tie diagram for radiation doses to humans (R1) | 311 |
| Figure 10-3: Bow-tie diagram for radiation doses to non-human biota (plants and animals) (R2)..... | 312 |
| Figure 11-1: Bow-tie diagram for closure criteria – creating a landform that meets Traditional Owner requirements (CL1)..... | 330 |
| Figure 11-2: Bow-tie diagram for cultural management – to avoid destruction or damage to a cultural site (CL2)..... | 331 |

TABLES

| | |
|---|-----|
| Table 1-1: Ranger operator details | 5 |
| Table 1-2: Ranger mine title holder details | 7 |
| Table 1-3: Timelines of the operations and closure phases of Ranger | 9 |
| Table 2-1: Comparison of AR5 and AR6 climate findings | 16 |
| Table 3-1: Stakeholder Engagement Committees and Forums | 20 |
| Table 3-2: Key Stakeholder Engagement Mechanisms | 22 |
| Table 3-3: ERA's draft social transition framework | 25 |
| Table 4-1: Land disturbance and rehabilitation by domains (see Figure 4-2) | 31 |
| Table 4-2: Water quality classes at Ranger | 59 |
| Table 4-3: Capacity and description of on-site Retention Ponds | 63 |
| Table 4-4: Approximate amount and destination of waste materials for disposal | 69 |
| Table 4-5: RWD deconstruction material quantities | 85 |
| Table 4-6: Waste rock material types incorporated into the model | 93 |
| Table 5-1: Descriptors used to assess effectiveness of preventative controls and corrective actions | 108 |
| Table 6-1: Landform Theme: Environmental Requirements | 112 |
| Table 6-2: Erosion Characteristics – Approved Closure Criteria | 112 |
| Table 6-3: Erosion Characteristics – Closure criteria for Minister approval in the 2023 MCP | 113 |
| Table 6-4: Tailings Isolation – Approved Closure Criteria | 114 |
| Table 6-5: Predicted denudation rates for each catchment on FLv6.2 | 117 |
| Table 6-6: Predicted gully depth for each catchment on FLv6.2 | 128 |
| Table 6-7: Summary of significant hazards and consequences | 129 |
| Table 6-8: Preventative Controls for Landform | 133 |
| Table 6-9: Landform monitoring | 141 |
| Table 6-10: Corrective Actions for Landform | 142 |
| Table 6-11: Trigger, Action, Response Plan for Landform | 144 |
| Table 7-1: Water and Sediment Theme – Environmental Requirements | 150 |
| Table 7-2: Approved guideline values for each management goal – most stringent and therefore adopted GV in italics and underlined | 153 |
| Table 7-3: Draft water and sediment quality objectives under review | 155 |
| Table 7-4: Ranger source terms and their locations | 169 |
| Table 7-5: Solutes that are potential CoPC at Ranger and their BTVs in HLUs | 170 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Table 7-6: Predicted peak concentrations for peak groundwater loads at selected locations (all Ranger sources + background) | 175 |
| Table 7-7: Predicted peak concentrations for 10,000 year groundwater loads at selected locations (all Ranger sources + background) | 177 |
| Table 7-8: Risk rating matrix..... | 181 |
| Table 7-9: Likelihood lookup table..... | 181 |
| Table 7-10: Sliding scale consequence lookup table (example for manganese)..... | 181 |
| Table 7-11: Comparison of manganese concentrations against consequence categories in Table 7-10 (colour legend below table) | 183 |
| Table 7-12: Comparison of predicted annual loads and background levels (Holmes, 2023)..... | 188 |
| Table 7-13: Hazard Index results for the assessed scenarios – MG003 and MG009..... | 193 |
| Table 7-14: Hazard Index results for the assessed scenarios – Mudginberri Billabong (MB) | 193 |
| Table 7-15: Water and Sediment Theme: potential threats..... | 201 |
| Table 7-16: Preventative Controls for Water and Sediment – Site-wide..... | 202 |
| Table 7-17: Preventative Controls for Djalkmarra Catchment and Corridor Creek Catchment | 205 |
| Table 7-18: Preventative Controls Coonjimba Catchment and Gulungul Catchment | 207 |
| Table 7-19: Preventative Controls – Final Landform and LAAs | 209 |
| Table 7-20: Groundwater and surface water monitoring additional to monitoring requirements in the Ranger Water Monitoring Strategy | 211 |
| Table 7-21: Corrective Actions for Water and Sediment (all ‘Active’ Corrective Actions)..... | 212 |
| Table 7-22: Trigger, Action, Response Plan for Water and Sediment | 216 |
| Table 8-1: Soils Theme: Environmental Requirements..... | 220 |
| Table 8-2: Soils – Approved Closure Criteria | 220 |
| Table 8-3: Sources of contamination and potential contaminants..... | 223 |
| Table 8-4: Soil assessment screening criteria (Focus values) – heavy metals..... | 227 |
| Table 8-5: Soil assessment screening criteria (Focus values) – Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons (TRH), Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) and BTEXNTRH) | 228 |
| Table 8-6: Preventative Controls for Soil Contamination | 231 |
| Table 8-7: Corrective Actions for Soil Contamination (all ‘Active’ Corrective Actions)..... | 236 |
| Table 8-8: Trigger, Action, Response Plan for Soil | 237 |
| Table 9-1: Ecosystems Theme: Environmental Requirements | 240 |
| Table 9-2: Ecosystems – Closure Criteria for Minister approval in the 2023 MCP | 241 |
| Table 9-3: Vegetation community descriptions in undisturbed areas of the RPA (Schodde <i>et al.</i> , 1987) | 247 |
| Table 9-4: Fire resilience mechanisms for Ranger rehabilitation | 267 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 9-5: Weed categories and currently relevant species of concern | 270 |
| Table 9-6: Commonly used herbicides and target species | 271 |
| Table 9-7: Preventative Controls for Ecosystem | 280 |
| Table 9-8: Corrective Actions for Ecosystem (all 'Active' Corrective Actions) | 291 |
| Table 9-9: Trigger, Action, Response Plan for Savanna Woodland CRE | 295 |
| Table 10-1: Radiation Theme: Environmental Requirements | 301 |
| Table 10-2: Radiation – Approved Closure Criteria..... | 302 |
| Table 10-3: Calculated background average values in groundwater (ERM, 2020a) | 304 |
| Table 10-4: Occupancy intentions on the former mine area | 305 |
| Table 10-5: Annual intake of bush tucker | 306 |
| Table 10-6: Radiation dose to the public (mSv/y) | 308 |
| Table 10-7: ERICA output for terrestrial species – total dose rate per organism (μGy/h)* | 310 |
| Table 10-8: Preventative Controls for Radiation | 313 |
| Table 10-9: Radiation monitoring | 315 |
| Table 10-10: Corrective Actions for Radiation..... | 316 |
| Table 10-11: Trigger, Action, Response Plan for Radiation | 317 |
| Table 11-1: Cultural – Closure Criteria for Minister approval in the 2023 MCP | 322 |
| Table 11-2: Preliminary Assessment of the Potential impacts to future cultural land use activities..... | 329 |
| Table 11-3: Preventative Controls for Cultural | 334 |
| Table 11-4: Example of scalar measurement tool for cultural criteria monitoring | 337 |
| Table 11-5: Corrective Actions for Cultural..... | 340 |
| Table 11-6: Trigger, Action, Response Plan for Cultural and Cultural Heritage..... | 343 |
| Table 12-1: Risk assessment consequence table | 348 |
| Table 12-2: Risk assessment likelihood table | 349 |
| Table 12-3: Risk assessment risk rating table and associated response | 350 |
| Table 12-4: Consolidated risks from bow-tie diagrams (see relevant chapters for details) | 351 |
| Table 12-5: Relevant project risks from 2023 Archer register (risks captured in Table 12-4 are not duplicated in this table) | 354 |
| Table 14-1: Indicative data collection types..... | 364 |

PLATES

Plate 4-1: Pit 1 nearing the completion of mining (1992) 32

Plate 4-2: Settlement monitoring plate, with standpipe, at time of installation 34

Plate 4-3: Tailings surface showing tops of vertical wick drains installed in Pit 1 35

Plate 4-4: Scarification of the surface on Pit 1 (October 2020) 37

Plate 4-5: View of the perimeter drain and rock check dams along the southeast edge of Pit 1 (January 2021) 38

Plate 4-6: Completed Corridor Road Sump upgrade works with pumping infrastructure installed 38

Plate 4-7: Back-cutting erosion on the steeper slope leading into the temporary perimeter drain (2022) 39

Plate 4-8: Rocky outcrop habitat feature installed on Pit 1 40

Plate 4-9: View of the Pit 3 wall for proposed tip head (south west view) 47

Plate 4-10: Tailings currently hung up on the tip head 48

Plate 4-11: Pit 3 wicking barge and rigs 49

Plate 4-12: Amphibious excavator 50

Plate 4-13: Amphirolo on a red mud dam 50

Plate 4-14: Installation of geotextile, construction of groynes and initial capping on Pit 1 52

Plate 4-15: Brine Concentrator 61

Plate 4-16: Brine Squeezer 62

Plate 4-17: Corridor Creek Wetland Filter (CCWLF) 64

Plate 4-18: Rubber tyre dump on top of a waste rock stockpile 72

Plate 4-19: Nursery (on right) and old core yard (on left) at Jabiru East (June 2023) 74

Plate 4-20: Old magazine site (June 2023) 75

Plate 4-21: Jabiru airport and Supervising Scientist buildings (June 2023) 76

Plate 4-22: Gagudju yard and surrounding disturbance (June 2023) 78

Plate 4-23: Gagudju workshop and surrounding infrastructure 79

Plate 4-24: Ranger Mine Village – with plants establishing (June 2023) 79

Plate 4-25: Magela Levee (June 2023) 80

Plate 4-26: Existing pipeline corridors (blue lines) and proposed central services corridor (green line) 81

Plate 4-27: The Jabiru dredge 83

Plate 4-28: R3 Deeps portal and offices 86

Plate 4-29: Plan view of the R3 Deeps decline 86

Plate 4-30: The end of the steel multiplate tunnel (June 2022) 87

Plate 4-31: Coarse rockfill placed on top of the backfilled R3 Deeps ventilation shaft 88

| | |
|--|-----|
| Plate 4-32: Trial landform (2023)..... | 89 |
| Plate 6-1: Pit 1 perimeter drain..... | 120 |
| Plate 6-2: Stage 52 HES Basin (31 January 2023)..... | 124 |
| Plate 7-1: Algae in Magela Creek – Western Chanel upstream from MG003 (9 May 2023)..... | 189 |
| Plate 9-1: Contour ripping on trial landform trial of 2 m interval (2010)..... | 255 |
| Plate 9-2: Scarification of the Pit 1 surface as seen in October 2023..... | 256 |
| Plate 9-3: Trial landform (permanent monitoring plot 2) in 2009 (top left), 2016 (top right) and 2023..... | 257 |

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 2.1: RANGER URANIUM MINE CLOSURE CLIMATE CHANGE RISK ASSESSMENT

APPENDIX 4.1: CHRONOLOGY OF COMPLETED ACTIVITIES

APPENDIX 4.2: COMPLETED BPT ASSESSMENTS

APPENDIX 5.1: CONSOLIDATED KKN LIST

APPENDIX 5.2: CONSOLIDATED LIST OF PREVENTATIVE CONTROLS

APPENDIX 5.3: CONSOLIDATED LIST OF CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

APPENDIX 7.1: RANGER MINE AQUATIC PATHWAYS RISK ASSESSMENT FOR PIT 3 CLOSURE

APPENDIX 9.1: REVEGETATION STRATEGY FOR SAVANNA WOODLAND CONCEPTUAL REFERENCE ECOSYSTEM

APPENDIX 9.2: VERTEBRATE FAUNA EXPECTED TO RETURN TO THE REHABILITATED SITE