



ERA Energy Resources of Australia Ltd

Ranger Mine Closure Plan

Table of Contents



**ERA****Document Details (ERA)**

Department	Closure		
Status	Final	Project Reference	Closure
Unique Reference	PLN007		

Approvals Table

	Name	Position	Signed	Date
Originator	Elmarie Fagan	Superintendent Studies and Approvals	Elmarie Fagan	1/10/20
Checked	Sharon Paulka	Manager Closure	Sharon Paulka	1/10/20
Checked	Lesley Bryce	General Manager	Lesley Bryce	1/10/2020
Approved	Paul Arnold	Chief Executive Officer	Paul Arnold	1/10/20

Revision History

Revision	Issued date	Description	By (initials)	Checked (initials)	Approved
1.20.0	1/10/20	2020 Ranger Mine Closure Plan	SP	LB	PA
1.19.0	1/10/19	2019 Ranger Mine Closure Plan	GL	SP	PA
0.18.0	1/05/18	Revisions to draft mine closure plan issued December 2016 Issue of final mine closure plan	LP, SI	SP, JO'C	PA
0.16.0	21/12/16	Review and update of 2013 Plan	LP	SP	TE
Rev C	23/08/13	61808 ITWC PFS Report – Draft Closure Plan for Internal Distribution only	JC	-	GS
0.10.0	1/03/10	2-016 Ranger Model	PP, LP	SS, RM, MC	AP
0.09.0	2009	2-016 Draft Ranger Closure Model	PP, LP, AP	RF, MF, JS, PL, MD, JC	TM



ERA

Revision History

Revision	Issued date	Description	By (initials)	Checked (initials)	Approved
0.07.0	1/11/07	2-185 Ranger Closure Model	LP, AP	DB, JD, LF, MG, IH, DK, PL, PQ, CS	TM
0.06.0	1/03/06	806 Revised Ranger Mine Draft Closure Model – First Pass	EM	AB, JD, MG, DG, MH, IH, DK, KM	TM
0.05.0	1/06/05	677 Ranger Mine Draft Closure Model – First Pass	DJ, CS, EM	AB, LC, CD, DK, DK, PR	TM
0.03.0	1/02/03	296 Closure Plan for Ranger Mine	EM	AP, DS	TM

Cover photograph: Magpie Goose (*Anseranas semipalmata*)



CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1 SCOPE AND PURPOSE

1.1	Background.....	1-1
1.2	Purpose of this MCP.....	1-4
1.2.1	Ranger Authorisation and Mining Management Act.....	1-4
1.2.2	Section 41 Authority and ERs.....	1-5
1.2.3	Government agreement.....	1-6
1.3	Scope of this MCP.....	1-6
1.4	Review and updates.....	1-7
1.5	Content and structure of this MCP.....	1-10
1.6	References.....	1-12

2 PROJECT OVERVIEW

2.1	History.....	2-1
2.1.1	Ranger Mine EIS assessment.....	2-2
2.2	Overview of operations.....	2-3
2.2.1	Mining.....	2-7
2.2.2	Processing.....	2-7
2.2.3	Ranger 3 deeps exploration decline.....	2-8
2.2.4	Tailings storage.....	2-8
2.2.5	Tailings Dam (TSF).....	2-10
2.2.6	Pit 1.....	2-10
2.2.7	Pit 3.....	2-11
2.2.8	Stockpiles.....	2-12
2.2.9	Water management.....	2-12
2.2.9.1	Retention ponds.....	2-14
2.2.9.2	Water treatment plants.....	2-15
2.2.9.3	Brine Concentrator.....	2-16
2.2.9.4	Brine Squeezer.....	2-16
2.2.9.5	High Density Sludge plant.....	2-16
2.2.9.6	Release of treated water.....	2-17



2.2.9.7	Wetland filters	2-18
2.2.9.8	Land Application Areas	2-22
2.2.9.9	Site water model	2-24
2.2.10	Jabiru Airport & Jabiru East.....	2-25
2.3	References.....	2-27

3 CLOSURE OBLIGATIONS AND COMMITMENTS

3.1	Legislative framework	3-5
3.1.1	Applicable legislation and agreements.....	3-5
3.1.2	Commonwealth.....	3-8
3.1.3	Northern Territory	3-9
3.1.4	Land and tenure	3-10
3.1.5	Post-closure tenure and land access	3-12
3.1.5.1	Legal framework beyond 8 January 2026.....	3-12
3.2	Standards, codes of practice and guidelines	3-13
3.2.1	Corporate policies and standards.....	3-15
3.2.2	Supervising Scientific Branch (SSB) rehabilitation standards.....	3-16
3.3	Western Australia Mine Closure Plan guidelines.....	3-17
3.3.1	Other closure and rehabilitation resources.....	3-17
3.4	Closure permits and approvals	3-18
3.5	References.....	3-20

4 STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

4.1	ERA stakeholder engagement principles.....	4-5
4.2	Managing socio-economic impacts.....	4-11
4.3	Ranger Mine closure	4-11
4.4	References.....	4-12

5 KNOWLEDGE BASE

5.1	Social setting.....	5-15
5.1.1	Aboriginal culture and heritage.....	5-15
5.1.2	World heritage listing attributes	5-16
5.2	Physical environment.....	5-16



5.2.1	Climate.....	5-19
5.2.2	Land systems.....	5-20
5.2.3	Topography.....	5-21
5.2.4	Soils	5-22
5.2.5	Geology and mineralisation	5-26
5.2.6	Geomorphology	5-27
5.2.7	Groundwater and background constituents.....	5-28
5.2.8	Surface water.....	5-39
5.2.9	Radiation.....	5-47
5.2.10	Sediment.....	5-50
5.3	Biological environment.....	5-60
5.3.1	Bioregions.....	5-60
5.3.2	National parks and protected areas	5-60
5.3.3	Terrestrial ecology	5-64
5.3.4	Aquatic ecosystem	5-89
5.3.5	Trial Landform.....	5-101
5.4	Technical knowledge base.....	5-102
5.4.1	Tailings consolidation model	5-103
5.4.2	Tailings properties	5-117
5.4.3	Groundwater modelling	5-125
5.4.4	Surface water modelling	5-169
5.4.5	Aquatic ecosystem assessment & framework development.....	5-184
5.5	Supporting studies	5-185
5.5.1	Landform.....	5-186
5.5.2	Water and sediment	5-200
5.5.3	Health impacts of radiation and contaminants	5-242
5.5.4	Ecosystem rehabilitation.....	5-249
5.5.5	Cross theme	5-255
5.6	References.....	5-258

6 BEST PRACTICABLE TECHNOLOGY

6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.1.1	BPT assessment criteria.....	6-3
6.1.2	BPT ranking, weighting and scoring.....	6-5



6.2	Completed closure-related BPT assessments.....	6-7
6.2.1	TSF North Notch Stage 3	6-7
6.2.2	Tailings Storage Facility subfloor material management	6-8
6.2.3	High Density Sludge plant recommissioning	6-11
6.2.4	Subaqueous tailings deposition into Pit 3.....	6-12
6.2.5	Progress of Pit 1 to final landform	6-14
6.2.6	Brine Squeezer	6-15
6.2.7	Blackjack waste disposal.....	6-18
6.2.8	Ranger 3 Deeps	6-19
6.2.8.1	Decline closure.....	6-21
6.2.8.2	Portal closure	6-21
6.2.8.3	Ventilation shaft closure	6-22
6.2.8.4	Outcome.....	6-22
6.2.9	Integrated tailings, water and closure prefeasibility study one technical options assessment.....	6-22
6.2.9.1	Tailings management.....	6-23
6.2.9.2	Salt treatment and disposal.....	6-25
6.2.9.3	Final landform construction, revegetation and ecosystem rehabilitation	6-25
6.2.10	Prefeasibility study two: closure strategy and plan.....	6-26
6.2.10.1	Stage 1 assessment.....	6-27
6.2.10.2	Stage 2 assessment.....	6-28
6.2.11	Supplementary integrated tailings, water and closure prefeasibility study BPT assessment.....	6-29
6.2.11.1	Thickened tailings (A1).....	6-30
6.2.11.2	Unthickened tailings (A2)	6-31
6.2.11.3	Unthickened tailings with wicks (A3).....	6-31
6.2.11.4	Unthickened tailings with extended water treatment (A4).....	6-31
6.2.11.5	Unthickened tailings, with inline agglomeration and wicks (A5)	6-32
6.2.11.6	Unthickened tailings with neutralisation and wicks (A6)	6-33
6.2.11.7	Thickened and filtered tailings (A7).....	6-34
6.2.11.8	Thickened, filtered and cemented tailings (A8).....	6-34
6.2.11.9	BPT analysis of tailings treatment options	6-36
6.2.11.10	Conclusions.....	6-37
6.3	ALARA and BPT	6-38



6.4 Future BPT assessments..... 6-39
6.5 References..... 6-40

7 RISK ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT

7.1 Standards and requirements..... 7-2
7.2 Previous closure risk assessments..... 7-3
7.3 ERA closure risk assessment methodology 7-5
 7.3.1 Purpose and scope..... 7-6
 7.3.2 Assumptions 7-7
 7.3.3 Risk Management Tool..... 7-7
 7.3.4 Risk Identification 7-7
 7.3.5 Risk Relationships 7-8
 7.3.6 Risk Evaluation 7-9
 7.3.7 Communication and Consultation..... 7-13
7.4 Current risk profile..... 7-15
 7.4.1 Closure Class IV risks 7-15
 7.4.2 Closure Class III risks..... 7-16
7.5 References..... 7-19

8 POST-MINING LAND USE, CLOSURE OBJECTIVES & CLOSURE CRITERIA

8.1 Post-mining land use 8-6
 8.1.1 Future occupancy intentions..... 8-7
 8.1.2 Bush food diet..... 8-13
 8.1.3 Culturally important flora and fauna 8-16
8.2 Closure objectives..... 8-16
8.3 Closure criteria 8-19
 8.3.1 Landform..... 8-22
 8.3.2 Water and sediment 8-26
 8.3.3 Radiation..... 8-41
 8.3.4 Soils 8-48
 8.3.5 Ecosystem 8-50
 8.3.6 Cultural 8-65
8.4 Status of closure criteria 8-69



8.4.1	Landform.....	8-69
8.4.2	Water and sediment	8-69
8.4.3	Radiation.....	8-69
8.4.4	Soils	8-69
8.4.5	Ecosystem	8-69
8.4.6	Cultural	8-70

9 CLOSURE IMPLEMENTATION

9.1	Introduction	9-1
9.2	Closure planning	9-1
9.3	Closure domains	9-2
9.3.1	Pit 1.....	9-6
9.3.1.1	Completed rehabilitation	9-7
9.3.1.2	Current rehabilitation.....	9-9
9.3.1.3	Planned rehabilitation.....	9-12
9.3.1.4	Contingency planning.....	9-26
9.3.2	Pit 3.....	9-27
9.3.2.1	Completed rehabilitation	9-27
9.3.2.2	Current rehabilitation.....	9-38
9.3.2.3	Planned rehabilitation.....	9-40
9.3.2.4	Contingency planning.....	9-54
9.3.3	Tailings Storage Facility	9-57
9.3.3.1	Completed rehabilitation	9-57
9.3.3.2	Current rehabilitation.....	9-58
9.3.3.3	Planned rehabilitation.....	9-68
9.3.3.4	Contingency planning.....	9-74
9.3.4	Land Application Areas.....	9-76
9.3.4.1	Completed rehabilitation	9-78
9.3.4.2	Current rehabilitation.....	9-78
9.3.4.3	Planned rehabilitation.....	9-79
9.3.4.4	Contingency planning.....	9-79
9.3.5	Process plant, water treatment plants & other infrastructure	9-80
9.3.5.1	Completed rehabilitation	9-80
9.3.5.2	Current rehabilitation.....	9-80



	9.3.5.3	Planned rehabilitation.....	9-87
	9.3.5.4	Contingency planning.....	9-93
9.3.6		Stockpiles	9-94
	9.3.6.1	Completed rehabilitation	9-94
	9.3.6.2	Current rehabilitation.....	9-95
	9.3.6.3	Planned rehabilitation.....	9-95
	9.3.6.4	Contingency planning.....	9-97
9.3.7		Water management areas	9-98
	9.3.7.1	Completed rehabilitation	9-99
	9.3.7.2	Current rehabilitation.....	9-99
	9.3.7.3	Planned rehabilitation.....	9-100
	9.3.7.4	Contingency planning.....	9-103
9.3.8		Linear infrastructure.....	9-104
	9.3.8.1	Completed rehabilitation	9-105
	9.3.8.2	Current rehabilitation.....	9-105
	9.3.8.3	Planned rehabilitation.....	9-105
9.3.8.4		Contingency planning	9-105
9.3.9		R3 Deeps decline	9-106
	9.3.9.1	Completed rehabilitation	9-108
	9.3.9.2	Current rehabilitation.....	9-109
	9.3.9.3	Planned rehabilitation.....	9-109
	9.3.9.4	Contingency planning.....	9-114
9.3.10		Miscellaneous	9-116
	9.3.10.1	Gagadju Yard	9-116
	9.3.10.2	Ranger Mine Village.....	9-117
	9.3.10.3	Nursery / coreyard.....	9-119
9.3.11		Magela Levee	9-120
	9.3.11.1	Borrow pits	9-121
	9.3.11.2	Landfill sites and bioremediation pad.....	9-123
	9.3.11.3	Explosives magazine area	9-125
	9.3.11.4	Trial landform	9-126
9.3.12		Airport	9-127
	9.3.12.1	Completed rehabilitation	9-127
	9.3.12.2	Current rehabilitation.....	9-127



	9.3.12.3	Planned rehabilitation.....	9-128
	9.3.12.4	Contingency planning.....	9-128
9.4		Closure activities	9-128
	9.4.1	Contaminated sites	9-128
	9.4.2	Waste and hazardous material management.....	9-133
	9.4.3	Water treatment.....	9-135
		9.4.3.1 Brine Concentrator	9-138
		9.4.3.2 HDS Plant.....	9-139
		9.4.3.3 Brine Squeezer	9-142
		9.4.3.4 Pond water treatment.....	9-143
		9.4.3.5 Schedule of progressive plans	9-144
		9.4.3.6 Contingency plans.....	9-145
	9.4.4	Bulk material movement	9-146
	9.4.5	Final landform / Surface preparation	9-152
		9.4.5.1 Source of waste rock for surface layer.....	9-156
		9.4.5.2 Surface layer construction.....	9-156
		9.4.5.3 Erosion and sediment controls.....	9-157
		9.4.5.4 Surface rock structures	9-164
		9.4.5.5 Access track installation.....	9-164
		9.4.5.6 Schedule of progressive tasks	9-165
		9.4.5.7 Contingency planning.....	9-165
	9.4.6	Revegetation implementation	9-165
		9.4.6.1 Revegetation domains and species selection.....	9-166
		9.4.6.2 Seed collection and tubestock propagation	9-168
		9.4.6.3 Irrigation installation and operation	9-171
		9.4.6.4 Preventative weed control.....	9-172
		9.4.6.5 Mechanical planting site cultivation.....	9-172
		9.4.6.6 Tubestock planting	9-175
		9.4.6.7 Schedule of progressive tasks	9-176
		9.4.6.8 Contingency plans.....	9-178
9.5		Overall closure implementation schedule	9-179
9.6		References.....	9-181



10 CLOSURE MONITORING AND MAINTENANCE

10.1	Closure monitoring program	10-1
10.2	Monitoring and maintenance period program	10-2
10.3	Landform monitoring	10-4
10.3.1	Pit 1 landform monitoring.....	10-6
10.4	Water and sediment monitoring	10-12
10.4.1	Surface water and sediments	10-12
10.4.1.1	Closure monitoring	10-12
10.4.1.2	Monitoring and maintenance period.....	10-12
10.4.2	Groundwater	10-19
10.4.2.1	Closure monitoring	10-19
10.5	Radiation monitoring	10-30
10.5.1	Closure monitoring period	10-30
10.5.2	Pit 1 radiological monitoring	10-30
10.5.3	Monitoring & maintenance period.....	10-30
10.6	Soils monitoring.....	10-34
10.7	Ecosystem monitoring.....	10-34
10.7.1	Ecosystem (revegetation) monitoring.....	10-35
10.7.2	Weed monitoring	10-36
10.7.3	Exotic fauna monitoring	10-36
10.7.4	Native fauna recolonisation	10-36
10.8	Cultural monitoring.....	10-43
10.9	Trigger, action, response plan (TARP)	10-44
10.10	References.....	10-49
10.7	References	10-28

11 FINANCIAL PROVISION FOR CLOSURE

11.1	Rehabilitation provision	11-1
11.1.1	Process water	11-2
11.1.2	Bulk material movement	11-2
11.1.3	Tailings transfer	11-2
11.1.4	Tailings consolidation	11-3
11.1.5	Other factors	11-3
11.2	Government agreement	11-3



12 MANAGEMENT OF INFORMATION AND DATA

12.1 Data collection and management	12-1
---	------

FIGURES

Figure 1-1: Oblique view of Ranger Mine 2019	1-1
Figure 1-2: Regional location of Ranger Project Area	1-2
Figure 1-3: Ranger Mine Project Area	1-3
Figure 2-1: Ranger Mine site (aerial 2019)	2-4
Figure 2-2: Ranger Mine plant layout.....	2-5
Figure 2-3: Index for site layout figures (2-1 & 2-2)	2-6
Figure 2-4: Spatial extent of the Ranger 3 Deeps exploration decline	2-9
Figure 2-5: Corridor Creek wetland filter (CCWLF).....	2-13
Figure 2-6: Water treatment area at Ranger Mine	2-15
Figure 2-7: Brine Concentrator.....	2-17
Figure 2-8: Surface water monitoring points on the RPA.....	2-19
Figure 2-9: General arrangement of water class catchments on the RPA (Deacon 2017)	2-20
Figure 2-10: Current Ranger Mine water circuit.....	2-21
Figure 2-11: Land Application Areas.....	2-23
Figure 2-12: Site water model free process water inventory forecast (June 2020)	2-25
Figure 3-1: Land portions relevant to the RPA.....	3-11
Figure 3-2: Integrated mine closure good practice framework (ICMM 2019)	3-14
Figure 4-1: Ranger Mine stakeholder matrix.....	4-4
Figure 4-2: Tourist facilities in Jabiru town.....	4-5
Figure 5-1 Geographic context for closure activities.....	5-17
Figure 5-2 Regional location of the Ranger Mine	5-18
Figure 5-3 Land Systems at the RPA.....	5-20
Figure 5-4: Elevation of RPA and the surrounding region	5-21
Figure 5-5 Contour map of the RPA and surrounds	5-23
Figure 5-6: Dominant soil types in areas surrounding the Ranger Mine.....	5-24
Figure 5-7: Stratigraphic sequence from regional to mine scale and corresponding geological map of the immediate area of the Ranger Mine orebodies	5-28



Figure 5-8 Hydrograph showing examples of seasonal groundwater head fluctuations (INTERA 2019a)..... 5-29

Figure 5-9 Background COPC decision framework for data screening (ERM 2020a) 5-33

Figure 5-10 Background COPC decision framework for weight of evidence background evaluation (ERM 2020a)..... 5-34

Figure 5-11 Background COPC decision framework for identifying COPC (ERM 2020a) 5-35

Figure 5-12: Regional extent of Magela catchment 5-41

Figure 5-13: Magela catchment showing government agency gauging stations 5-42

Figure 5-14 Pre-mining catchments in relation to the Ranger Mine 5-43

Figure 5-15: Control Charts of TPM concentrations in surface sediments of Georgetown Billabong. ♦ sub-clay (<63 µm) ERA samples, ◆ sub-sand (<2mm) ERA samples, ▲ sub-clay (<63 µm) SSB samples. Digests before 2006 were by reverse aqua regia and after 2006 were by nitric/perchloric acid..... 5-55

Figure 5-16: Control Charts of TPM concentrations in surface sediments of Coonjimba Billabong. ♦ sub-clay (<63 µm) ERA samples, ◆ sub-sand (<2mm) ERA samples, ▲ sub-clay (<63 µm) SSB samples. Digests before 2006 were by reverse aqua regia and after 2006 were by nitric/perchloric acid..... 5-56

Figure 5-17: Control Charts of TPM concentrations in surface sediments of Gulungul Billabong. Symbols as for Figure 5-13. Digests before 2001 were by reverse aqua regia and after 2001 were by nitric/perchloric acid..... 5-57

Figure 5-18 Control Charts of TPM concentrations in surface sediments of Gulungul and Buba billabongs. Symbols as for Figure 5-13. Digests before 2001 were by reverse aqua regia and after 2001 were by nitric/perchloric acid..... 5-58

Figure 5-19 Control Charts of TPM concentrations in surface sediments of Wirnmuyurr billabong. Symbols as for Figure 5-13. Digests were by nitric/perchloric acid. . 5-59

Figure 5-20 Protected areas in the Ranger Mine region..... 5-61

Figure 5-21 Vegetation of the RPA and surrounding Kakadu NP (Schodde *et al.* 1987) 5-67

Figure 5-22 Vegetation types over aerial of the RPA and surrounding Kakadu NP 5-68

Figure 5-23 Vegetation habitat map of the RPA (based on Brady *et al.* 2007) 5-69

Figure 5-24 Rooting pattern of the savanna woodland trees in the Top-End (Source: Hutley 2008)..... 5-77

Figure 5-25 Key features of savanna vegetation water-use and carbon allocation strategies adapted to the Top-End seasonality ((Source: Hutley 2008) 5-78

Figure 5-26 Seasonal change in leaf area index at the Georgetown Creek Reference Area (Source: Lu *et al.* 2018) 5-79

Figure 5-27 LAI dynamics at the four ecohydrological study sites..... 5-80

Figure 5-28 Evapotranspiration and its components 5-81

Figure 5-29 General view of an instrumented study site..... 5-81

Figure 5-30 Annual dynamics of over storey tree transpiration at Site 21 5-82



Figure 5-31 Temporal dynamics of the groundwater depth at Site 21 5-83

Figure 5-32 Relative extractable water contents measured at different depths and ground water table depth (GWT, in Red) at Site 21 5-84

Figure 5-33 Food web for aquatic ecosystems in the Magela Creek catchment (from BMT 2019)..... 5-100

Figure 5-34: Trial landform and contour plot of the terrestrial gamma dose rates measured across the trial landform in June 2012 (Bollhöfer & Doering 2013; p 136)..... 5-101

Figure 5-35 Geometric mean radon-222 exhalation flux at the TLF measured since 2009, grey regions indicate the wet season. (McMaster 2020)..... 5-102

Figure 5-36: Pit 3 as excavated and as modelled 5-105

Figure 5-37: Pit 3 density profile - end of filling 5-106

Figure 5-38: Predicted final tailings level (m) across Pit 1 5-108

Figure 5-39: Predicted flow of process water from Pit 1 during consolidation (Fitton 2015, 2017; Figure 5)..... 5-109

Figure 5-40: Predicted versus measured average tailings settlements in Pit 1 5-110

Figure 5-41: Cumulative magnesium flows 5-111

Figure 5-42: Measured versus predicted excess pore pressure profile 5-113

Figure 5-43: Indicative conceptual cross-section of Pit 3 at the end of consolidation, as at 2014 (INTERA 2014a) 5-113

Figure 5-44: Predicted flow of process water from Pit 3 during consolidation 5-115

Figure 5-45: Mill tailings deposition locations 5-116

Figure 5-46: Diffuser locations 5-116

Figure 5-47: TSF topography (blue: low elevation; green: high elevation) (Fugro 2018) 5-117

Figure 5-48: April 2019 Magnetic Anomaly Map (left frame) comparison with the 2012 Magnetic Anomaly Map (right frame) 5-118

Figure 5-49: Cone penetration locations (Shackleton 2013) 5-120

Figure 5-50 CPT Locations 5-121

Figure 5-51 Typical 2018/2019 cone resistance comparison 5-122

Figure 5-52 Predicted versus measured fine/coarse tailings interface 5-123

Figure 5-53 Cross section of tailings and water within the Pit 5-124

Figure 5-54 Spatial domain of the hydrogeological Ranger Mine conceptual model relative to the domain of the calibrated groundwater flow model..... 5-126

Figure 5-55 Indicative flowchart showing various numerical and solute transport model development for the RPA..... 5-135

Figure 5-56: Mg solute loads at monitoring stations MCUS and MG009 and derived from the mine (INTERA 2016) 5-136



Figure 5-57: Operational groundwater flow (left) compared to post-closure groundwater flow (right) (INTERA 2016)..... 5-137

Figure 5-58: Schematic west to east cross-section through the TSF for the current configuration and the final landform waste rock (INTERA 2016) 5-146

Figure 5-59: Schematic south to north cross-section through the TSF for the current configuration and the final (INTERA 2016)..... 5-147

Figure 5-60: Groundwater flow pathways from the processing plant area towards Pit 1, Pit 3, Georgetown Billabong and Corridor Creek tributary (INTERA 2016)..... 5-150

Figure 5-61: Uranium and manganese soil concentration versus depth; generally decreasing over depth (INTERA 2016) 5-151

Figure 5-62: Maximum uranium in groundwater (data from 2006 – 2015) (INTERA 2016)... 5-152

Figure 5-63: Maximum magnesium in groundwater (data from 2006 – 2015) (INTERA 2016)... 5-152

Figure 5-64 Location of LAAs and associated monitoring bores 5-154

Figure 5-65 Magnesium observed in groundwater where irrigated with pond water (bore DJ2). 5-155

Figure 5-66: Surface water sulfate concentrations in bores OB27, MC27, and MC27 Deep (top) and bores MC12, MC12 Deep, 23562, and 83/1 Deep (bottom) 5-155

Figure 5-67 Key RSWM study area locations, Water Solutions (2020) 5-172

Figure 5-68 RSWM mean monthly flow - GS28, Water Solutions (2020)..... 5-173

Figure 5-69 RSWM daily flow exceedance - GS09, Water Solutions (2020)..... 5-173

Figure 5-70 RSWM Mudginberri Billabong storage levels, Water Solutions (2020) 5-174

Figure 5-71 Example site loading trace (Corridor Creek - Magnesium), Water Solutions (2020) 5-179

Figure 5-72 Example Annual COPC loading pattern (Corridor Creek - Magnesium), Water Solutions (2020)..... 5-179

Figure 5-73 Sample site TSS loads, Water Solutions, (2020) 5-180

Figure 5-74 Annual TSS loading Pattern, Water Solutions (2020) 5-180

Figure 5-75 Mean monthly flows, Water Solutions (2020) 5-182

Figure 5-76 Daily flow exceedance, Water Solutions (2020) 5-182

Figure 5-77: Preliminary slope analysis looking at the steepest slopes 5-187

Figure 5-78: Catchment areas – Ranger Mine conceptual landform (Lowry & Saynor 2015)5-189

Figure 5-79: Corridor Creek catchment – extent of erosion/deposition zones after simulated period of 10,000 years (Supervising Scientist 2016d)..... 5-190

Figure 5-80: Djalkmarra catchment – extent of erosion/deposition zones after simulated period of 10,000 years (Supervising Scientist 2016c) 5-191



Figure 5-81 Surface of Corridor Creek catchment after a simulated period of 10,000 years under an extreme dry-rainfall, grass cover only scenario (Supervising Scientist 2019).... 5-192

Figure 5-82 Profile across Pit 1 (extent of Pit 1 shown by black line) after a simulated period of 10,000 years under an extreme dry-rainfall, grass cover only scenario (Supervising Scientist 2019)..... 5-193

Figure 5-83 Effect of armour versus unarmoured surface on gully formation in the Corridor Creek catchment (Supervising Scientist 2019) 5-195

Figure 5-84 Modelled denudation rate over a simulated period of 10,000 years under an extreme dry-rainfall, grass cover only simulation. The red line represents the background denudation rate (Supervising Scientist 2019)..... 5-195

Figure 5-85: Particle size distribution for waste rock in stockpiles (by fragmentation software).. 5-197

Figure 5-86 ASS terminologies (ERM 2020)..... 5-206

Figure 5-87 Development of preliminary site wide ASS conceptual model (ERM, 2020)..... 5-207

Figure 5-88 Summary of preliminary site wide ASS conceptual model 5-209

Figure 5-89 Summary of SPR linkages (ERM, 2020) 5-210

Figure 5-90 Land Application Areas at the Ranger Mine 5-212

Figure 5-91: Ranger Mine area boundaries 5-215

Figure 5-92: Processing plant area – contaminated sites register..... 5-216

Figure 5-93: Major site area boundaries – contaminated sites register..... 5-217

Figure 5-94: Locations of soil bores drilled in the processing area at Ranger Mine 5-218

Figure 5-95: Locations of soil bores in the historic landfill, bioremediation pads and TSF walls at Ranger Mine. 5-219

Figure 5-96: Location of boreholes at the historic landfill area 5-219

Figure 5-97: Location of soil bores at the emergency dump tank and CCD circuit areas 5-220

Figure 5-98: Location of soil bores at the former sulfur stockpile, acid tank and power station areas..... 5-220

Figure 5-99: Location of soil bores at the Shellsol underground and above ground tanks.... 5-221

Figure 5-100: Location of soil bores at the bioremediation pad..... 5-221

Figure 5-101 Monitoring bores used to inform development of groundwater contamination profile at the Processing Plant Area 5-223

Figure 5-102 INTERA (2020) Leapfrog TSF Mg plume concentration and lateral extent..... 5-224

Figure 5-103 Land Application Area groundwater-monitoring bores for source term development 5-226

Figure 5-104 Location of 9 stockpile bores and 1 TLF bore 5-227

Figure 5-105 Fauna (bushfood) sampling locations within Kakadu National Park and the RPA. 5-247



Figure 5-106 Systematic random sampling approach (IAEA 2019).....	5-248
Figure 6-1: Brine Squeezer process flow diagram (source: http://www.osmoflo.com/)	6-17
Figure 6-2: Aerial view of the ventilation shaft and underground infrastructure.....	6-20
Figure 6-3: Outcomes of the stage 1 assessment	6-27
Figure 6-4: Thickened tailings flow sheet.....	6-30
Figure 6-5: Inline agglomeration flow sheet	6-32
Figure 6-6: Tailings neutralisation flow sheet.....	6-33
Figure 6-7: Tailings filtration flow sheet.....	6-35
Figure 6-8: Thickened, filtered and cemented tailings flow sheet.....	6-35
Figure 7-1: - ISO 31000 Risk Management Process	7-2
Figure 7-2 Risk Reporting Structure.....	7-14
Figure 7-3 2019 risk profile vs 2020 open risk profile	7-15
Figure 7-4 Overall risk portfolio	7-18
Figure 8-1: Estimated location for occupancy post closure	8-9
Figure 8-2: Fungi on Trial Landform.....	8-20
Figure 8-3: Framework for the closure criteria working group, and subsequent closure criteria development and approvals pathway	8-21
Figure 8-4: Typical rocky surface of the Trial Landform (2019)	8-23
Figure 8-5: The Water Quality Management Framework (ANZG 2018)	8-33
Figure 8-6: The main features of the ALARA procedure (Oudiz <i>et al.</i> 1986).....	8-41
Figure 8-8: Constrained optimisation and regions of effective dose for members of the critical group in the release of sites (IAEA 2006).....	8-46
Figure 8-7: Georgetown Creek.....	8-70
Figure 9-1: Ranger Mine closure domains (aerial 2019).....	9-5
Figure 9-2: Pit 1 (August 2020)	9-6
Figure 9-3: A view of some of the 7,554 vertical wick drains installed in Pit 1 in 2012.....	9-7
Figure 9-4: Pit 1 water balance schematic.....	9-9
Figure 9-5: Construction of the drain at the southeast edge of Pit 1 (July 2020)	9-11
Figure 9-6: Backfill progress at Pit 1 (view northwest) (July 2020).....	9-11
Figure 9-7: Area of planned revegetation for Pit 1 showing trial areas.....	9-13
Figure 9-8: Pit 3 backfill conceptual design	9-28
Figure 9-9: Pit 3 before and after underfill construction	9-29
Figure 9-10: Pit 3 underfill during construction in 2014.....	9-30
Figure 9-11: Flow Diagram of Brine Injection.....	9-31
Figure 9-12: Schematic cross-section of Pit 3 before tailings deposition commenced.....	9-32



Figure 9-13: Pit 3 showing the original location of mill and dredge tailings deposition points .	9-33
Figure 9-14: Tailings surface in April 2019 (Source: Fitton 2019)	9-34
Figure 9-15: Subaqueous deposition of dredge tailings via floating pipelines and diffusers ...	9-36
Figure 9-16: Subaerial deposition of mill tailings from multiple spigot points	9-36
Figure 9-17: Novel subaqueous diffuser design	9-37
Figure 9-18: Pit 3 dredge tailings deposition plan.....	9-39
Figure 9-19: Southeast wall of Pit 3 – subaerial discharge point for mill tailings (Nov 2019) ..	9-40
Figure 9-20: Cross-section of Pit 3 after tailings deposition.....	9-43
Figure 9-21: Site water model forecast of total dissolved solids concentration in process water	9-44
Figure 9-22: Pit 3 tailings beach scan in May 2020	9-45
Figure 9-23: Indicative Pit 3 wicking, geofabric and initial capping plan.....	9-48
Figure 9-24: Pit 3 Secondary capping, decant wells and bulk fill plan.....	9-52
Figure 9-25: TSF (May 2019).....	9-57
Figure 9-26: The Jabiru dredge.....	9-58
Figure 9-27: The Brolga 1 dredge	9-59
Figure 9-28: The Mudskipper	9-59
Figure 9-29: Dredge run lines evident as alternating shades of blue in this survey of the TSF ..	9-61
Figure 9-30: Process water return from Pit 3 to the TSF	9-62
Figure 9-31: Typical remnant tailings on TSF wall after dredging	9-63
Figure 9-32: Land based excavator cleaning tailings off North wall of TSF.....	9-63
Figure 9-33: Sorter/stacker removing rocks before placing tailings into dredge pool.....	9-64
Figure 9-34: Aerial image of the North-Eastern ramp	9-65
Figure 9-35: April 2019 Magnetic Anomaly Map (left frame) comparison with the 2012 Magnetic Anomaly Map (right frame)	9-66
Figure 9-36: Location of notches within the TSF walls	9-68
Figure 9-37: Mapping of water levels from the dredge plan.....	9-70
Figure 9-38: Sampling of the TSF wall at North Notch 2 as part of the TSF wall and floor contamination sampling campaign	9-72
Figure 9-39: TSF wall deconstruction sequence.....	9-75
Figure 9-40: Djalkmarra and Djalkmarra Extension Land Application Areas (May 2019)	9-76
Figure 9-41: Infrastructure for removal at Corridor Creek LAA (Oct 2019).....	9-77
Figure 9-42: Infrastructure for removal at Corridor Creek LAA.....	9-77
Figure 9-43: Process plant, mill and water treatment plants (May 2019)	9-80



Figure 9-44: Decommissioning stages.....	9-82
Figure 9-45: Plant decommissioning sequence	9-84
Figure 9-46: Areas for disposal of demolition material.....	9-90
Figure 9-47: Stockpile area (May 2019).....	9-94
Figure 9-48: Native seedlings planted on Stage 13.1 (8 July 2020).....	9-95
Figure 9-49: Mining Stage 10 of the stockpile area (waste is transferred to backfill Pit 1).....	9-96
Figure 9-50: Planting areas A, B and C of Stage 13.1.....	9-97
Figure 9-51: Retention Pond 1 (RP1) and RP1 Wetland Filter (May 2019).....	9-98
Figure 9-52: Retention Pond 2 (May 2019).....	9-98
Figure 9-53: Corridor Creek Wetland Filter (November 2019).....	9-99
Figure 9-54: Multiple tracks east of Pit 3 (May 2019)	9-104
Figure 9-55: R3 Deeps portal and offices (May 2019).....	9-106
Figure 9-56: Plan view of the decline	9-107
Figure 9-57: Oblique view of R3D decline and main closure elements	9-108
Figure 9-58: Backfilled shaft with waste rock plug (orange), crushed waste rock (purple); cemented rock fill layer (pink) with a crushed rock "cover" for the last 20 m of the weathered zone; and, concrete collar removed	9-111
Figure 9-59: Schematic of backfilling detail to below weathered zone	9-112
Figure 9-60: Dismantling and cutting gradient of the steel portal to ground level.....	9-112
Figure 9-61: Boxcut and portal, completed in December 2012.....	9-113
Figure 9-62: Potential structurally controlled wedge failures (ERA GCMP 2017)	9-114
Figure 9-63: Cross-sections of decline and possible ultimate progressive failure. The left picture shows the rock structure for the first decline leg and the right picture shows the progression of failures and caved material height.....	9-115
Figure 9-64: Gagadju Yard (May 2019)	9-116
Figure 9-65: Range Mine Village (May 2019)	9-117
Figure 9-66: Ranger Mine Village area prior to planting (January 2020).....	9-118
Figure 9-67: Rehabilitation site at Ranger Mine Village (June 2020)	9-118
Figure 9-68: Nursery and old core yard at Jabiru East (May 2019).....	9-119
Figure 9-69: Magela levee (May 2019)	9-120
Figure 9-70: Borrow pit for TSF lift.....	9-121
Figure 9-71: Borrow pit for Magela Creek Levee (May 2019).....	9-122
Figure 9-72: Temporary waste storage facility on the western edge of Pit 3 (May 2019)	9-123
Figure 9-73: Old magazine site (May 2019).....	9-125
Figure 9-74: Trial landform (May 2019).....	9-126



Figure 9-75: Jabiru airport (May 2019).....	9-127
Figure 9-76: Process water flow diagram for the current water model	9-137
Figure 9-77: Block flow diagram for BC3 fan upgrade	9-140
Figure 9-78: HDS Plant Block Flow Diagram	9-142
Figure 9-79: WTP 1 – reverse osmosis membranes	9-144
Figure 9-80: Bulk material movement scheduled monthly rates	9-147
Figure 9-81: Material movement excavation areas.....	9-148
Figure 9-82: Material movement placement areas	9-149
Figure 9-83: Bulk material placement rates	9-150
Figure 9-84: Stockpile material grades variance.....	9-152
Figure 9-85: Final landform boundary.....	9-153
Figure 9-86: Final landform topography contours on current aerial photo.....	9-154
Figure 9-87: Final landform contours	9-155
Figure 9-88: Footprint of final landform requiring contour ripping.....	9-159
Figure 9-89: Contour ripping on trial landform trial of 2m interval (2010)	9-160
Figure 9-90: Contour ripping on Stage 13, with 3 m intervals (March 2020	9-160
Figure 9-91: Environmental rock bars – section view	9-161
Figure 9-92: Boundary sediment control structure – section view	9-162
Figure 9-93: Catchment plan for final landform with sediment basins and environmental rock bars	9-163
Figure 9-94: Flow chart of seed collection program.....	9-170
Figure 9-95: View of a ‘natural’ tree planting distribution and also the flat ground space among trees at Jabiluka revegetation site, Feb 2016. (Note that the surface at Ranger Project Area will be rougher due to waste rock substrate).	9-173
Figure 9-96: Example of a specially modified auger cultivator attached to a small excavator, here seen being trialled in waste rock on the Trial Landform in March 2020....	9-174
Figure 9-97: A mechanically cultivated planting site.....	9-174
Figure 9-98: Tubestock planting out steps.....	9-176
Figure 9-99: Example of a completed, revegetated area (Stage 13.1).....	9-177
Figure 9-100: Cumulative handover of completed final landforms	9-177
Figure 10-1: Pit 1 ecosystem reconstruction areas.....	10-11
Figure 10-2: GC2 monitoring station in the dry season	10-13
Figure 10-3: GC2 monitoring station in the wet season.....	10-14
Figure 10-4: Statutory and operations surface water monitoring sites at the Ranger Mine...	10-17
Figure 10-5: Location of Pit 1 monitoring bores	10-22



Figure 10-6: Location of Pit 3 monitoring bores 10-25
Figure 10-7: Location of R3D closure monitoring bores 10-25
Figure 10-8: Water quality sampling 10-37

TABLES

Table 1-1: Timelines of the operations and closure phases of the Ranger Mine 1-7
Table 1-2: Future applications to be submitted 1-9
Table 1-3: Structure and content of this MCP 1-10
Table 2-1: Indicative ore grades and mineral type 2-7
Table 2-2: Water classes and their management 2-14
Table 2-3: LAA description of generalised water management 2-22
Table 3-1: SSB rehabilitation standards for the Ranger Project Area 3-16
Table 3-2: Applications pending submission 3-19
Table 4-1: Ranger Mine closure stakeholders 4-2
Table 4-2: Engagement forums 4-8
Table 5-1: Historical weather data, Jabiru Airport 5-19
Table 5-2: Brief description of soil characteristics around the Ranger Mine (Figure 5-6) 5-25
Table 5-3: Soil hydraulic conductivity 5-26
Table 5-4: Typical erosion susceptibility of soils 5-27
Table 5-5 Ranger Conceptual Model HLUs (INTERA 2019a) 5-31
Table 5-6 Background Threshold Value (BTV) from data rich HLUs from the background evaluation 95/95 Upper Tolerance Limit (ERM 2020c) 5-36
Table 5-7 Background COPC concentrations HLUs for analytes with low frequency of detects (ERM 2020c) 5-36
Table 5-8: Baseline values from Klessa (2000) and ERA Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS) database 1992-2018; results are for filtered fraction except for ²²⁶Ra 5-46
Table 5-9: Pre-mining radiological baseline determined by the Supervising Scientist (Bollhöfer *et al.*, 2014) 5-48
Table 5-10 Estimated baseline groundwater radionuclide concentrations 5-48
Table 5-11: Magela Creek upstream radionuclide concentrations (2010 – 2014 average) 5-48
Table 5-12: Radionuclide concentrations in local bush foods 5-50
Table 5-13 Regional background values and datasets 5-53
Table 5-14 Area and proportion of vegetation communities on the RPA and Kakadu NP 5-66



Table 5-15 Actively Managed Weeds in the RPA	5-71
Table 5-16: Conservation listed species known to occur on the RPA (adapted from Firth 2012)5-86	
Table 5-17: Feral fauna species known to occur in Kakadu NP and the RPA.....	5-89
Table 5-18 Twelve classes of Magela floodplain vegetation described by Whiteside and Bartolo (2014).....	5-90
Table 5-19 List of key species indicators of Ramsar and cultural values in relation to the RPA (BMT 2019).....	5-93
Table 5-20 Seasonal patterns in aquatic macroinvertebrates in Magela catchment billabongs (BMT 2019 after Marchant 1982)	5-96
Table 5-21: Consolidation model results, comparison of 2014 and 2018.....	5-114
Table 5-22 Details of 2019 CPTu.....	5-122
Table 5-23 Summary of Geophysical survey	5-124
Table 5-24 Summary of differences in name/geometry between the updated HLUs and previous HLUs in INTERA (2014a, b, c; 2016)	5-127
Table 5-25 Calibration statistics for the transient groundwater flow model	5-130
Table 5-26 Contaminated sites located in or near the processing plant area (INTERA 2016)....	5-148
Table 5-27 RSWM Natural runoff water quality relationships parameters, Water Solutions (2020).....	5-176
Table 5-28 RSWM TSS flow vs concentration relationship, Water Solutions (2020)	5-178
Table 5-29 Source loads at time horizons - total site loads, Water Solutions (2020)	5-178
Table 5-30 Mean annual surface water flow, Water Solutions (2020).....	5-181
Table 5-31 Mean annual COPC loads in model inputs, Water Solutions (2020).....	5-183
Table 5-32: Particle size distribution for waste rock landform (by sieve analysis).....	5-197
Table 5-33: Rehabilitated waste rock landform properties.....	5-199
Table 5-34: Analogue landscape properties	5-200
Table 5-35: Summary of targeted Site Contamination Assessment of Knowledge Gaps.	5-218
Table 6-1: Explanation of relevant matters/criteria to be included in BPT assessment.....	6-1
Table 6-2: BPT technology and ranking system	6-6
Table 6-3 BPT assessment options and overall ranks for North Notch Stage 3.....	6-8
Table 6-4 BPT assessment options and overall ranks for TSF Contaminated Material Management.....	6-9
Table 6-5 BPT Overall ranking for HDS recommissioning and release.....	6-11
Table 6-6 Tailings deposition options and best practicable technology assessment summary ..	6-13
Table 6-7 Comparison of final BPT scores 2013 versus 2018	6-17



Table 6-8 Black jack disposal options and best practicable technology assessment summary..	6-19
Table 6-9: Decline options and best practicable technology assessment summary	6-21
Table 6-10: Tailings reclamation options	6-23
Table 6-11: Salt treatment and disposal options.....	6-25
Table 6-12: Initial closure strategies to be assessed	6-26
Table 6-13: Final closure strategies assessed.....	6-28
Table 6-14: Tailings neutralisation advantages and disadvantages	6-33
Table 6-15: Supplementary tailings treatment assessment.....	6-36
Table 7-1: Risk Class Determination.....	7-9
Table 7-2 Risk management response	7-9
Table 7-4: Likelihood qualitative criteria.....	7-10
Table 7-3: Consequence qualitative criteria.....	7-11
Table 7-5 Control and Overall Control Effectiveness.....	7-13
Table 8-1: Estimates of occupancy periods at various locations on the rehabilitated RPA.....	8-8
Table 8-2: Estimate of annual intake of bushfood of local Aboriginal people in northern Kakadu.....	8-15
Table 8-3: Closure objectives.....	8-17
Table 8-4: Final Closure criteria – Landform.....	8-24
Table 8-5: Draft closure criteria - Landform	8-24
Table 8-6: Agreed draft water and sediment quality objectives for minister approval – water and sediment	8-30
Table 8-7: Draft water and sediment quality objectives under review	8-31
Table 8-8: Closure criteria – radiation	8-43
Table 8-9: Closure criteria – soils.....	8-49
Table 8-10: Closure criteria – Ecosystem (Revegetation)	8-51
Table 8-11: Draft Closure criteria – Ecosystem (Fauna recolonisation)	8-52
Table 8-12: Frugivorous and nectivorous bird species that may occur within the rehabilitated Ranger Mine site.....	8-63
Table 8-13: Closure criteria – cultural	8-66
Table 8-14: Suggested indicators of cultural health of rehabilitated site (Garde 2015).....	8-67
Table 8-15: Example of scalar measurement tool for cultural criteria monitoring.....	8-68
Table 9-1: Land disturbance by domains	9-3
Table 9-2: Area of progressive revegetation at RPA	9-4
Table 9-3: Type of waste rock used in rehabilitation.....	9-8



Table 9-4: Completed Pit 1 rehabilitation	9-8
Table 9-5: Revegetation trial areas of Pit 1	9-13
Table 9-6: Example of overstorey and midstorey tree and shrubs species compositions for the different Conceptual Reference Ecosystems, listing the 18 highest density species listed in descending order of dominance	9-15
Table 9-7: Tubestock trial species (may change slightly depending on seed collection / availability)	9-17
Table 9-8: Tubestock treatment factors and rationale	9-18
Table 9-9: Tubestock Treatments	9-19
Table 9-10: Tubestock Trial Planting Details	9-19
Table 9-11: Experimental Design (subject to change depending on seed collection/availability and statistical method chosen)	9-20
Table 9-12: Example propagation, planting and irrigation schedule for 2020 – 2021	9-22
Table 9-13: Direct seeding species and experimental design	9-24
Table 9-14: Schedule for Pit 1 closure rehabilitation	9-26
Table 9-15: Completed Pit 3 rehabilitation	9-27
Table 9-16: Progressive tasks for closure of Pit 3	9-41
Table 9-17: Schedule of closure for Pit 3	9-42
Table 9-18: Risks and controls identified for transport and re-deposition of contaminated material from the TSF to Pit 3.....	9-46
Table 9-19: Backfill specifications for Pit 3	9-50
Table 9-20: Bulk material movements to Pit 3	9-53
Table 9-21: Completed TSF rehabilitation	9-57
Table 9-22: Milestone tasks for closure of the TSF	9-68
Table 9-23: Closure schedule for the TSF	9-69
Table 9-24: TSF deconstruction material quantities	9-73
Table 9-25: Area of the LAAs	9-78
Table 9-26: Closure schedule for LAA rehabilitation.....	9-79
Table 9-27: Schedule key milestones for completion of demolition	9-87
Table 9-28: Schedule of closure activities for the processing plant, administration buildings and water treatment structures.....	9-88
Table 9-29: Demolition phases	9-91
Table 9-30: Phase 1 demolition areas	9-92
Table 9-31: Phase 2 demolition areas	9-93
Table 9-32: Schedule for closure activities for the stockpile area.....	9-96
Table 9-33: Schedule for water management area closure activities	9-100



Table 9-34: Schedule for linear infrastructure closure activities	9-105
Table 9-35: Geological conditions, decline reinforcement methodology	9-112
Table 9-36: Proposed management of contaminated land	9-131
Table 9-37: Waste materials for management and/or disposal at closure.....	9-133
Table 9-38: Sequence for process water storage	9-144
Table 9-39: Bulk material movements.....	9-150
Table 9-40: Environmental rock bar design features	9-161
Table 9-41: Sediment control structure design features	9-162
Table 9-42: Information available for the major physical and/or chemical constraints.	9-167
Table 9-43: Agreed tree and shrub list for Ranger revegetation.....	9-167
Table 9-44: Key milestones for completion of demolition	9-180
Table 10-1: Examples of maintenance work that may be required during the closure and/or post-closure phases.....	10-3
Table 10-2: Landform closure monitoring	10-7
Table 10-3: Landform monitoring and maintenance	10-10
Table 10-4: Parameters and locations for post-closure surface water monitoring to assess compliance with closure criteria.....	10-18
Table 10-5: Generally identified hydrolithologic units on the RPA.....	10-20
Table 10-6: Parameters for monitoring bores for Pit 1,Pit 3 and R3D closure.....	10-23
Table 10-7: General background groundwater chemistry for the RPA	10-27
Table 10-8: Groundwater closure and post-closure monitoring	10-28
Table 10-9: Radiation closure and post-closure monitoring.....	10-32
Table 10-10: Flora and fauna closure & maintenance period monitoring	10-38
Table 10-11: Suggested indicators of cultural health of rehabilitated site (Garde 2015).....	10-43
Table 10-12: An example of a bilingual, scalar cultural index score for cultural criteria monitoring	10-44
Table 10-13: Trigger, action, response plan	10-45
Table 12-1: Indicative data collection types	12-4



APPENDICES

A: ERA response to stakeholder feedback on the 2019 Ranger Mine Closure Plan

1.1: Ranger 2020 Mine Closure Plan stakeholder feedback form

2.1: Water management Terminology

3.1: Overview of primary legislation, agreements and authorisations

3.2: Closure Legal Obligations Register

4.1: Ranger Mine Closure Stakeholder Consultation Register

5.1: Revegetation knowledge base

5.2: Consolidation model A

5.3: Consolidation model B

5.4: Key Knowledge Needs

6.1: Best practicable technology assessment matrices

6.2: ALARA & BPT for Ranger mine closure

7.1: Ranger Closure Risk Assessment

7.2: ERA Closure Risk Summary 2020

9.1: Schedule of activities for closure

9.2: Flood modelling

9.3: Final landform drawings

9.4: Hazardous material and contamination control plan

10.1: Pit 1 Progressive Rehabilitation Monitoring Framework

Glossary

Abbreviations and Acronyms

Chemical Symbols and Formulae

Symbols / Units of Measurements

Contributors